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Summary

On April 21, 2015, the first SCN8A Encephalopathy Research Group convened in Washington, DC, to assess current research into clinical and pathogenic features of the disorder and prepare an agenda for future research collaborations. The group comprised clinical and basic scientists and representatives of patient advocacy groups. SCN8A encephalopathy is a rare disorder caused by de novo missense mutations of the sodium channel gene SCN8A, which encodes the neuronal sodium channel Na$_{\text{v}}$1.6. Since the initial description in 2012, approximately 140 affected individuals have been reported in publications or by SCN8A family groups. As a result, an understanding of the severe impact of SCN8A mutations is beginning to emerge. Defining a genetic epilepsy syndrome goes beyond identification of molecular etiology. Topics discussed at this meeting included (1) comparison between mutations of SCN8A and the SCN1A mutations in Dravet syndrome, (2) biophysical properties of the Na$_{\text{v}}$1.6 channel, (3) electrophysiologic effects of patient mutations on channel properties, (4) cell and animal models of SCN8A encephalopathy, (5) drug screening strategies, (6) the phenotypic spectrum of SCN8A encephalopathy, and (7) efforts to develop a bioregistry. A panel discussion of gaps in bioregistry, biobanking, and clinical outcomes data was followed by a planning session for improved integration of clinical and basic science research. Although SCN8A encephalopathy was identified only recently, there has been rapid progress in functional analysis and phenotypic classification. The focus is now shifting from identification of the underlying molecular cause to the development of strategies for drug screening and prioritized patient care.
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Epilepsy is a common pediatric neurologic disorder, affecting up to 12 patients per 1,000.1 Pharmacoresistant epilepsies make up 30% of cases and include epileptic encephalopathies (EEs). These severe disorders present in infancy and childhood and are characterized by multiple seizure types and significant developmental slowing and regression.2 The frequent epileptic activity in EE is thought to contribute to cognitive and behavioral impairment. SCN8A encephalopathy is a newly defined EE caused by de novo mutations of the gene SCN8A encoding the sodium channel Na,1.6 (OMIM #614558). Most cases result from de novo mutations,3 with the exception of two cases of inheritance from a mosaic parent.4,5 The disorder typically presents with developmental epileptic encephalopathy within the first 2 years of life. 

SCN8A is one of nine human genes encoding voltage-gated sodium channel α subunits. Mutations of the related genes SCN1A and SCN2A are responsible for the EEs Dravet syndrome (OMIM #606208) and SCN2A encephalopathy (OMIM #613721). SCN1A, SCN2A, and SCN3A are also implicated in a range of milder, self-limited neonatal and infantile epilepsy syndromes.6–11 Targeted and genome-wide next-generation sequencing has greatly increased the number of individuals identified with SCN8A encephalopathy, allowing researchers to prioritize functional studies and develop an understanding of the phenotypic spectrum.12–14

Mutations of SCN1A in patients with inherited epilepsy and the sporadic Dravet syndrome were first identified in 2000 and 2001.11,15 Since then, a substantial body of knowledge regarding prognosis, comorbidities, optimal care, and quality of life has become available. In contrast, SCN8A encephalopathy was first identified in 2012, and an understanding of the severe impact of SCN8A mutations is just beginning to emerge.16 Awareness of this need, fueled by devoted, caring, and highly informed families, led to the first SCN8A research and family advocacy group meeting in Washington, DC, on April 21, 2015. The goal of the meeting was to review current knowledge and identify future needs for patient care groups and clinical investigators. Herein we discuss these efforts and future steps for the SCN8A community in advancing toward therapeutic trials and improved outcomes.

Clinical Aspects: Phenotype of SCN8A Encephalopathy

The frequency of SCN8A mutations in patients with EE was measured in four recent studies that in combination identified 13 cases in 1,157 EE patients.4,13,17,18 SCN8A mutations thus appear to account for approximately 1% of EE. More than 140 individuals with EE are known to have SCN8A mutations, including 50 published and 90 unpublished cases (SCN8A Family Group, personal communication, April 2015).12,13,16,18–22 The location within the Na,1.6 channel protein of 31 published SCN8A mutations from 50 patients is shown in Figure 1. The number is rapidly growing with the inclusion of SCN8A in clinical epilepsy gene panels and the expanded use of whole exome sequencing for diagnostic evaluation of patients with epilepsy syndromes.5,13,29

Within SCN8A encephalopathy, individuals have been diagnosed with syndromes including unclassified EE, early infantile EE, and Dravet-like presentation.12,13,16,18–22 The mean age of seizure onset for SCN8A encephalopathy is 4–5 months, with a range from the first day of life to 18 months, and in utero seizures may be part of the clinical spectrum.12,16,19,24–26 Tonic–clonic seizures are often seen at onset, and these are usually not triggered by fever (25 individuals reported).12,13,16,18–22 Most of the 50 patients in published series have multiple seizures types including tonic (21 individuals), absence seizures (10 individuals), myoclonic (10 individuals), focal (6 individuals), clonic (6 individuals), and epileptic spasms (6 individuals).12,13,16,18–22 In addition, 11 of the 50 individuals were reported to have convulsive or nonconvulsive status epilepticus.12,13,16,18–22 Electroencephalography (EEG) features include diffuse moderate to severe background slowing with focal or multifocal epileptiform abnormalities.13 Magnetic resonance
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imaging (MRI) brain studies are typically normal with a few reports of progressive cerebral atrophy.13 The majority of affected individuals have pharmacoresistant seizures and a mixed response to antiepileptic drugs (AEDs).13 Several individuals have had a positive response to sodium channel blocking drugs such as valproic acid, phenytoin, carbamazepine, and oxcarbazepine.13,20,30 Families have reported both positive and negative responses to some of the more widely used AEDs.

Although development of an infant with SCN8A encephalopathy may be delayed from birth, in many cases development is normal prior to seizure onset. After seizure onset, among 50 published cases,12,13,16,18–22 development slowed in 29 individuals and regressed in 10 individuals. Intellectual disability was common, and ranged from mild (n = 2) to moderate (n = 15) or severe (n = 23). Motor features included hypotonia (n = 22), ataxia (n = 13), dystonia (n = 6), hyperreflexia (n = 4), and choreoathetosis (n = 4).12,13,16,18–22 Eleven individuals had no speech. In some cases, immobility leading to wheelchair dependence developed during disease progression (n = 10). Sudden unexpected death in epilepsy (SUDEP) was reported in five individuals. Most of the published patients are in the first two decades of life.

**Comparison of SCN8A Encephalopathy with Dravet Syndrome (SCN1A) and SCN2A Encephalopathy**

Three recognized sodium channel gene EEs are caused by mutations in SCN8A, SCN1A (Dravet syndrome), SCN2A, and SCN8A.11,16,31 The mean age at onset is similar in SCN8A encephalopathy and Dravet syndrome, but the variation is broader in SCN8A encephalopathy (neonatal period to 18 months of age) compared with Dravet syndrome (neonatal period to 12 months of age). Onset during the first week of life is frequently observed for SCN2A encephalopathy.31

Although febrile seizures are the hallmark at presentation in the majority of infants with SCN1A mutations, they are rare in SCN8A and SCN2A encephalopathies. Epileptic spasms are not a feature of Dravet syndrome but can occur in SCN8A and SCN2A encephalopathies. There is an important difference in response to treatment with sodium channel blockers. Patients with Dravet syndrome are well known to respond adversely to carbamazepine and phenytoin, for example, whereas these and other sodium channel blockers may be efficacious in SCN8A and SCN2A encephalopathies.

EEG recordings in Dravet syndrome exhibit generalized spike wave activity as well as multifocal discharges.7 In contrast, SCN8A and SCN2A encephalopathies have predominantly focal and multifocal epileptiform discharges and voltage attenuation during epileptic spasms.31 All three sodium channel EEs are associated with a high mortality rate of approximately 10–15% by age 20, based on published reports. The rate of SUDEP in the sodium channel encephalopathies seems to be higher than in other disorders such as PCDH19 encephalopathy.7,31

As discussed below, most SCN8A mutations in EE are missense mutations that cause increased Na\textsubscript{+},1.6 channel activity. The same is true for SCN2A mutations in EE. In contrast, most SCN1A mutations in Dravet syndrome result in reduced Na\textsubscript{+},1.1 activity. This fundamental difference in mechanism is likely to explain why sodium channel blockers can be effective for some patients with SCN8A encephalopathy.
enecephalopathy, who have an excess of SCN8A channel activity, but may exacerbate seizures in Dravet syndrome patients with a deficiency of SCN1A channel activity. This difference in drug response is one important reason to prioritize early genetic testing, since the results directly influence patient management.

**Characteristics of Sodium Channel Na\(_{\text{v}}\)1.6 Encoded by SCN8A**

SCN8A encodes the sodium channel \(\alpha\) subunit Na\(_{\text{v}}\)1.6, the current-conducting component of a complex that also contains modulatory \(\beta\) subunits.\(^{32}\) As a member of the voltage-gated sodium (Na\(_{\text{v}}\)) channel family, Na\(_{\text{v}}\)1.6 has the typical structure with four homologous domains (DI–DIV), each containing six transmembrane segments (S1–S6) (Fig. 1). Voltage sensitivity is provided by positively charged arginine and histidine residues in the four S4 transmembrane segments. The channel “fast-inactivates” through a hinged-lid mechanism (internal DIII–DIV linker) that occludes the intracellular mouth of the pore (composed of the S5–S6 segments of all four domains). A second, less well-defined “slow” inactivation mechanism may involve a collapse of the outer mouth of the pore.\(^{33}\) The unique properties of Na\(_{\text{v}}\)1.6 were reviewed recently.\(^{29}\) Na\(_{\text{v}}\)1.6 is predominantly expressed in neurons, and is one of the most abundant sodium channels in the central nervous system (CNS). Na\(_{\text{v}}\)1.6 is also expressed at a low level in heart,\(^{34,36}\) whereas transcripts containing the in-frame stop codon are widely expressed at a low level in nonneuronal tissues. Another unusual feature of the SCN8A gene is the presence of two minor-class introns whose splice sites begin with an AT dinucleotide and end with AC, rather than the major-class GT and AG.\(^{50}\) These nonconsensus splice sites influence the pattern of exon skipping that results from mutations in nearby splice sites.\(^{50}\)

**Alternative Splicing and Rare Introns of SCN8A**

The SCN8A gene contains two pairs of alternatively spliced exons that encode transmembrane segments S3 and S4 of domain I and domain III.\(^{38}\) Both of these mutually exclusive exon pairs contain one neonatal (N) and one adult (A) exon. In domain I, the alternative exons differ by a single amino acid. In domain III, the neonatal exon contains an in-frame stop codon that results in protein truncation. Expression of the adult exon with the open-reading frame is restricted to neurons\(^{38,39}\) and a low level in heart,\(^{35}\) whereas transcripts containing the in-frame stop codon are widely expressed at a low level in nonneuronal tissues. Without functional studies, the effects of amino acid substitutions are not obvious. Software algorithms such as PhyloP,\(^{51}\) SIFT,\(^{52}\) and PolyPhen-2\(^{53}\) provide estimates of pathogenicity based on evolutionary conservation of the substituted amino acid and the chemical difference between the original amino acid and its replacement. Nonetheless, reliable predictions regarding the biophysical consequences of amino acid substitutions are not yet possible. Functional comparisons between wild-type and mutant Na\(_{\text{v}}\) channels can be made experimentally, using electrophysiologic patch-clamp experiments, but require extensive laboratory investigation. Ten missense mutations of SCN8A have thus far been functionally evaluated for their effect on Na\(_{\text{v}}\)1.6 channel activity,\(^{3,12,16,22,29,54}\) and eight (80%) resulted in elevated channel activity. Because sodium channels are involved in initiation and propagation of action potentials,
elevated sodium channel activity in excitatory neurons can lead to central hyperactivity, the hallmark of seizures. Three functional changes leading to elevated channel activity in the mutated channels are illustrated in Figure 2: premature channel opening, impaired channel closing, and increased persistent current.\textsuperscript{3,12,16,22,29,54} These are classified as “gain-of-function” effects because they produce new channel properties not seen in the wild-type channel. (This is in distinction to “loss of function” mutations that reduce activity, often by protein truncation, as in Dravet syndrome.)

With the relatively small number of mutations analyzed to date, no clear correlation between phenotypic severity and genetic mutation has emerged. Patients with the identical genetic variant can differ in clinical severity, demonstrating an important role of genetic background, and possibly environment, in clinical outcome. For example, the mutation p.Arg1617Gln has been identified in five unrelated patients. This mutation replaces a positively charged arginine residue with an uncharged glutamine residue in transmembrane segment 4 of domain IV. Functional analysis demonstrated impaired inactivation,\textsuperscript{54} as predicted by the loss of a gating charge in the S4 segment of the domain IV voltage sensor, a region known to influence fast inactivation.\textsuperscript{3,55} The age of seizure onset among the five patients with this mutation varied from 3 to 12 months, the ability to sit without assistance was achieved between 8 and 24 months of age, and the EEG patterns and responses to medication were heterogeneous.\textsuperscript{3} This type of phenotypic heterogeneity is observed in other genetic epilepsies, for example among mutations of the potassium channel KCNT1.\textsuperscript{56}

The SCN8A gene contains several hot spots for recurrent mutations (indicated in Fig. 1). The 50 published cases include 19 recurrent mutations each identified in two to five unrelated individuals. Analysis of patients with recurrent mutations may permit identification of the contribution of genetic background and identification of modifier genes.

**Mutations of SCN8A Can Cause Other Less Severe Disorders**

One inherited SCN8A mutation with loss of channel function due to protein truncation resulted in moderate intellectual disability without seizures in four related heterozygous carriers.\textsuperscript{57} The proband in this family had ataxic gait and cerebellar hypoplasia. Another mosaic, de novo intragenic deletion of SCN8A spanning exons 2–14 was identified in an individual with intellectual disability and absence seizures, but no convulsive seizures. The inherited SCN8A variant p.Glu1483Lys was described in three unrelated families with benign infantile seizures, paroxysmal dyskinesia, and normal cognition.\textsuperscript{58} Thus, missense mutations of SCN8A can result in less severe disorders than EE.

Distinguishing between pathogenic and nonpathogenic missense variants is a major challenge in interpretation of genetic test results for SCN8A. Although most de novo patient mutations are likely to be pathogenic, it is not always the case. For example, the de novo missense mutation p.Asp58Asn in the cytoplasmic N terminus of SCN8A did not alter function and may be a nonpathogenic bystander.\textsuperscript{19} Several patients have de novo variants that are present in exome databases at low frequencies and may be nonpathogenic. Other missense mutations affect amino acid residues that are not well conserved during evolution, suggesting that they may be nondeleterious. Thus, identification of a de novo SCN8A variant in a patient should be followed up with expert interpretation.

**Figure 2.**

Effects of gain-of-function mutations in SCN8A in patients with epileptic encephalopathy. (A) The Thr767Ile substitution in transmembrane segment S1 of domain II causes a hyperpolarizing shift in the voltage dependence of activation, resulting in premature channel opening.\textsuperscript{20} (B) Three mutations of Arg1872 in the cytoplasmic C-terminal domain remove a critical positive charge resulting in delayed channel inactivation.\textsuperscript{53} (C) The substitution Asn1768Asp in transmembrane segment S6 of domain IV results in an increase in persistent sodium current that facilitates repetitive firing.\textsuperscript{13}
**iPSC-Derived Neuron Models of SCN1A and SCN8A Epilepsies**

An efficient platform for development of precision therapy based on the electrophysiologic impact of individual mutations may come from induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), reprogrammed from patient-derived skin or blood cells. The generation of neurons from iPSCs has been used in Dravet syndrome to characterize sodium current density using whole-cell voltage- and current-clamp recordings. The use of iPSCs provides a robust modeling tool, permitting the physiologic properties of multiple cell types with identical genotype to be examined. Study of different mutations may yield insight into the influence of a single mutation in different cell types. In iPSCs, the mutant channels are expressed in cells with the precise genetic background of the patient, which affords functional analyses of unparalleled physiologic accuracy. CRISPR/Cas gene editing can be used to generate isogenic control lines with the mutation corrected for comparison. The technique is not without challenges, however, and independent studies have produced different outcomes. Nonetheless, iPSC disease models constitute, at present, the most native and flexible drug-testing platform. iPSCs can also be differentiated into cardiac myocytes, permitting analysis of pathogenic mechanisms that may contribute to SUDEP in SCN8A encephalopathy.

**Strategies to Screen for Effective Therapies for SCN8A Encephalopathy**

Existing SCN8A cell and mouse models provide an opportunity for early screening in vitro to be followed by in vivo testing based on appropriate evidence. Generation of SCN8A mutations in zebrafish may provide another model applicable to drug screening, as for SCN1A to model Dravet syndrome. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) Anticonvulsant Drug Development Program at the University of Utah provides a low-throughput but rigorous testing program to narrow down drug selection, accounting for efficacy as well as toxicity and safety issues. All AEDs that have advanced to clinical trials have passed through this program since its inception in 1975. Surveying libraries of U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved compounds may provide an expedited opportunity for effective and approved therapies for SCN8A diseases.

**Modeling SCN8A Mutations in the Mouse**

Mouse models are useful for understanding pathogenic mechanisms as well as evaluation of new treatments emerging from cell-based screening programs. A mouse model carrying the first published patient mutation of SCN8A (p.Asn1768Asp) has been described. These mice recapitulate the seizures, EEG abnormalities, and premature death that were observed in the original patient (Fig. 3). This mutation causes impaired channel inactivation, increased persistent current, and elevated channel activity. In addition to hyperexcitable neurons, the Scn8a mutant mice display abnormal firing of ventricular myocytes, suggesting that cardiac arrhythmia may contribute to SUDEP in SCN8A encephalopathy (CR Frasier and LL Isom, unpublished data). These mice and additional lines with other patient mutations will be important for preclinical testing of current and novel therapies. Correlating biophysical abnormalities of SCN8A mutants with in vivo responses may eventually provide personalized recommendations for treatment of newly diagnosed patients. Many fundamental questions can be addressed with mouse models, such as the impact of gain-of-function SCN8A mutations on various classes of neurons and on inhibitory versus general circuits.

**Gaps in Bioregistry, Biobanking, and Clinical Outcome Information that Must Be Filled to Become Trial Ready**

Building on the emerging molecular understanding of SCN8A encephalopathy, there is urgent need to develop clinical platforms for testing the efficacy of interventions. To be “trial ready” for assessing therapies for SCN8A encephalopathy, more data on the natural history of the disorder are needed, including a better understanding of the phenotypic spectrum. A registry of mutations and the associated clinical outcomes will be essential. Comprehensive

---

*Figure 3.* A mouse model of SCN8A encephalopathy generated by knock-in of the patient mutation p.Asn1768Asp (N1768D). Approximately 45% of the heterozygous D/+ mice develop abnormal EEG findings and seizures leading to premature death before 6 months of age. Homozygous D/D mice and hemizygous D− mice are more severely affected. The number of mice in each group is shown in parentheses (adapted from Claes et al.).
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clinical data will be needed, including data regarding seizure phenotypes, developmental delay, developmental regression, movement disorders, other comorbidities, age at onset of later features, hospitalization rate, efficacy of antiepileptic and other medications, and survival. In combination with genomic studies, such a comprehensive database would also facilitate the systematic identification of modifier genes and pharmacogenetic interactions. Three important areas for development were discussed at the April 2015 meeting: bioregistry, biobanking, and documentation of clinical outcomes.

**Bioregistry**

Creation of a centralized registry would facilitate the early stages of research into innovative care for SCN8A-related disorders, and it will be important to identify long-term support for database maintenance and moderation. A community website hosting a patient-reported registry, modeled on the Patient-centered Outcomes Research Institute-funded Rare Epilepsy Network developed for other genetic encephalopathies, is under development at the University of Arizona (www.SCN8A.net). This website provides information tailored to the interests of three groups: families, health care providers, and researchers. Features include the ability to determine whether an SCN8A variant has been previously reported, a directory of physicians who have treated patients with SCN8A mutations, and a private forum for families to ask questions and interact. The website includes information about scientific advances in SCN8A research, clinical tools developed for other early onset epileptic encephalopathies, and links to groups such as CURE (http://www.cureepilepsy.org). A feature under development is a patient-reported registry that will allow participants to provide consent online and to fill out an extensive questionnaire designed specifically for SCN8A-related disorders. New information on clinical features that are shared among children with SCN8A mutations has already emerged. Eventually, the data will include a complete curated list of all known SCN8A variants, pathogenic or of unknown pathogenicity, with cross-reference to clinical information from individuals carrying those mutations. These data will benefit the physicians treating patients whose molecular test detects a potentially pathogenic SCN8A alteration.

Development of a patient registry will also be key to the systematic evaluation of responses of SCN8A encephalopathy patients to standard AEDs. To go beyond anecdotal reports, it will be necessary to combine detailed information for a cohort of patients, including clinical status prior to treatment, with precise data on dosage, timing of drug administration, and clinical impact. The frequent use of polytherapy, or combinations of AEDs, remains a confounding feature in sorting out the efficacy of specific AEDs. Lessons may be learned from a recent effort to assess AED effectiveness in a cohort of 58 patients with PCDH19 mutations based on retrospective reports of caregivers. With the expansion of early genetic testing, it may become possible in the future to carry out prospective studies of sequential monotherapy that could provide more definitive data.

The benefits of crowd-sourcing for this rare disorder are becoming clear, with the number of patient-reported mutations (n = 140) now exceeding those in the published literature (n = 50). However, a disadvantage of patient-reported registries is the lack of data from medical records. It may become possible for patients/caregivers to request their records and upload them to a website or send them to the registry. In studies of very rare conditions, highly motivated participants may enroll in multiple studies or registries being conducted by different investigators. Results from these studies may appear to be confirmatory when in fact they are derived from overlapping patient populations.

**Biobanking**

Biobanking of patient samples with standardized sample collection is another high priority for advancing understanding and therapy for SCN8A encephalopathy. In combination with an online registry, collection of high-quality specimens with confirmed SCN8A mutations will facilitate the development of genotype/phenotype correlations. Modeling with patient-derived cells, by reprogramming of skin cells and peripheral blood monocytes, has already provided insight into the pathogenic roles of Na,1,6. In the circumstance of SUDEP, mechanisms will be better investigated by banking tissue, fibroblast cultures, and DNA. As with all biobanking, quality control and making specimens available to the research community will be critical.

**Clinical outcomes**

Effective recording of clinical outcomes will require better definitions and methods of assessment. To advance the quality of patient self-reporting in clinical research and practice, the NIH has developed PROMIS, the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System. This initiative is developing new ways to measure patient-reported outcomes (PROs) that impact quality-of-life such as pain, fatigue, physical functioning, emotional distress, and social role participation. Work is needed to develop additional PROs specific to epilepsy. In one such study, Berg and collaborators investigated the outcomes most highly valued by parents of children with epilepsy and found that highest priority was given to seizure freedom and improved cognition. 

**Conclusions**

Previous experience with Dravet syndrome has demonstrated that understanding a genetic epilepsy syndrome requires more than identification of the molecular etiology. Careful clinical and electrophysiologic phenotyping will be
required to reveal the consequences of specific SCN8A mutations and to personalize AED choice for patients. In parallel with continuing research on disease mechanisms, the development of robust natural history and outcome measures will be essential to evaluating targeted therapeutics. These data will ultimately reveal whether developmental outcomes can be affected by early intervention and informed choice of AED. With the availability of a mouse model of SCN8A encephalopathy and additional models in development, there should be a concerted effort to test the clinically available drugs to identify the agents most likely to be successful in clinical trials. Mouse models can also address fundamental questions such as the impact of a gain-of-function mutation of Na_v1.6 on inhibitory neuronal circuits.

Further efforts will be enhanced by the development of an interface between patients, clinicians, and researchers. Biobanking, and partnership with established epilepsy and SCN8A advocacy groups will be important steps. Although SCN8A encephalopathy was only recently discovered, important findings from functional studies and phenotypic classification has already shifted the focus from mutation identification to functional analysis and drug screening. These important steps have implications for all parties invested in SCN8A encephalopathy, as we work toward reducing the uncertainty that comes with this diagnosis, often obtained after a prolonged diagnostic odyssey.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the patients, families, and caregivers affected by SCN8A encephalopathy. The first SCN8A Encephalopathy Meeting was hosted and sponsored by the Wishes for Elliott: Advancing SCN8A Research family group. We confirm that we have read the Journal’s position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

DISCLOSURE

None of the authors has any conflict of interest to disclose. The SCN8A Encephalopathy Meeting was sponsored by the Wishes for Elliott: Advancing SCN8A Research family group. We confirm that we have read the Journal’s position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

REFERENCES


63. Dinday MT, Baraban SC. Large-scale phenotype-based antiepileptic drug screening in a zebrafish model of Dravet syndrome(1,2,3). *eNeuro* 2015;2.


